FILED

MAR 2 5 2020



EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE MATTER OF COURT OPERATIONS OF CIVIL MATTERS IN RESPONSE TO COVID-19

Administrative Order: 20-11

Rule 1.30(b) of the Rules of Practice for the Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada charges the Chief Judge of the Eighth Judicial District Court with various responsibilities, such as supervising the administrative business of the EJDC, ensuring the quality and continuity of its services, supervising its calendar, reassigning cases as convenience or necessity requires, assuring the Court's duties are timely and orderly performed, and otherwise facilitating the business of the EJDC.

On March 12, 2020, Governor Steve Sisolak declared a state of emergency in Nevada in response to the recent outbreak of the Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19). The District Court is closely monitoring local developments in response to COVID-19 and will continue to evaluate and implement measures to slow the spread of infection in our community. During this time, it is critical to prevent the spread of any illness among members of the Court, counsel, staff, the public, and our valuable community partners. To further prevent the spread of disease, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recommends putting distance between yourself and other people. According to the CDC, the virus is spread mainly from people who are in close contact with one another—within about six feet.

///

Given our extremely high caseloads and concerns for being able to process cases appropriately after the resolution of the pandemic, the Eighth Judicial District Court hopes to continue processing civil-domestic matters to the degree possible while balancing the health and safety of attorneys, litigants and Court staff. As a result, the following measures are ordered:

I. Confidential Reports

Notwithstanding the provisions of EDCR 5.203, confidential reports (including custody evaluations, child interviews, brief focus assessments, drug test results, and paternity test results) shall be transmitted electronically to retained counsel, subject to the limitations imposed on counsel pursuant to EDCR 5.301 and EDCR 5.304. For self-represented litigants, civil-domestic departments may convey the information contained in the foregoing confidential reports by telephone. The transmittal of this information by telephone shall include, whenever possible, the reading of the information to the self-represented litigant. Alternatively, if a brief continuance is warranted, the Court in its discretion may continue the matter during the pendency of Administrative Order 20-01 to allow a self-represented litigant to read the confidential report at such time as in-person appearances resume.

II. Courtesy Copies

EDCR 5.515 is suspended and all submissions should adhere to the requirements of Administrative Order 20-10.

III. Motions

The Court may deny a motion at any time. The Court may grant all or any part of a motion after an opposition has been filed or 21 days after service of the motion opposition was filed. The Court may issue other written orders relating to the motion

filings as it deems appropriate. Hearings are to be conducted consistent with Administrative Order 20-10.

Motions related to emergency legal and physical custody issues should receive priority with respect to the scheduling of a hearing on an appropriate order shortening time. Depending on the circumstances, the Court may determine these motions be considered essential under AO 20-1.

IV. Rule 16.2 and 16.205 Conferences

In order to facilitate and encourage just, speedy, and inexpensive discovery in civil-domestic actions, NRCP 16.2 and 16.205 Early Case Conferences should continue to take place, but should be conducted by telephone, teleconference, videoconference or other alternate means. If an early case conference cannot be accomplished by alternate means within 30 days of this order, it shall be rescheduled. No early case conference shall be conducted in-person within 30 days from the date of this order.

All deadlines pursuant to NRCP 16.2 and 16.205 for initial disclosures, disclosure of expert witnesses and testimony, supplementation of discovery, pre-trial disclosures, and filing of joint and/or individual case conference reports shall be stayed for 30 days following the entry of this order.

V. Discovery

The provisions of Administrative Order 20-09 related to discovery shall similarly apply in civil-domestic matters as follows: Any deposition pursuant to NRCP 30, that is scheduled to be conducted within the next 30 days, may be conducted by telephone, teleconference, videoconference or alternate means. Upon agreement of the parties and deponent, the in-person requirement of NRCP 28 and 30(b)(5), which requires a deponent to appear before an officer appointed or designated by NRCP 28 to administer oaths and

take testimony, may be modified, adjusted for social distancing requirements, or waived. However, if no stipulation or other agreement can be reached by the parties, there shall be no in-person deposition conducted within 30 days from the date of this order.

All pending, unexpired discovery deadlines pursuant to NRCP 31 (depositions by written questions), 33 (interrogatories to parties) 34 (producing documents, electronically stored information and tangible things, or entering onto land, for inspection or other purposes), and/or 36 (requests for admission) shall be stayed for 30 days from the date of this order. This stay shall serve to extend the 30-day deadline for serving responses to written discovery, pursuant to NRCP 31, 33, 34 and 36, by 30 days, unless the time to respond to the written discovery expired on or before March 20, 2020.

The parties shall vacate and reschedule any in-person NRCP 35 examination that is to be conducted within 30 days from the date of this order.

All discovery hearings in the next 30 days shall be conducted by alternative means. Discovery motions may be resolved on the papers by way of a written recommendation issued by the judicial officer assigned to the civil-domestic discovery calendar.

VI. Continuance of Trials/Evidentiary Hearings

Civil-domestic trials and evidentiary hearings may be conducted by alternative means under Nevada Supreme Court Rules Part IX-B Rule 1(6) or may be continued. The continuance of any trial or evidentiary hearing will be considered on a case-by-case basis. Attorneys may have difficulty obtaining witnesses or being prepared for evidentiary proceedings during this time and in the period immediately following the duration of the administrative orders relating to COVID-19. Judges are encouraged to

liberally grant continuances to allow time for preparation. Civil-domestic departments are encouraged to examine the merits of any application for a continuance, balancing the consequences of a delay in the proceedings and the constraints placed on attorneys and litigants to prepare for a trial or evidentiary hearing.

VII. Settlement Conferences Vacated

All judicial settlement conferences are vacated. The Department currently assigned the judicial settlement conference shall coordinate with retained counsel to reschedule the settlement conference to a date after June 1, 2020. Parties who have settlement conferences set through the Senior Judge Program should contact the Senior Judge Program to reschedule their settlement conference or inquire whether it will go forward by alternative means. If parties agree that a meaningful settlement conference by alternative means would be possible, nothing in this Order prevent parties from requesting individual judges to conduct a settlement conference. All parties and counsel would be required to appear by alternative means.

VIII. Writs of Execution and Writs of Garnishment

The provisions of Administrative Order 20-09 related to writs of execution or writs of garnishment shall not apply to writs issued for the purpose of the collection of support (child support or spousal support).

This order shall be reviewed no later than every 30 days and shall continue until modified or rescinded by a subsequent order.

Entered this 25th day of March 2020.

LINDA MARIE BELL

Chief Judge

Eighth Judicial District Court